IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR
(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 1018 /2004

PETITIONER

Ashisan Tirky, aged about 27 years, S/o. Late Kamelueis Tirky, resident of village – Natki, Post – Rajpur, Surguja (Chhattisgarh)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

The State of Chhattisgarh, through : Secretary, Department of General and Administration, Mantralay, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (Chhattisgarh)

2. Collector, Distt. Jashpur (CG)

3. District Education Officer, Jashpur (Chhattisgarh)

4. The State of Madhya Pradesh, through : Secretary, General Administration Department, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

P. R. No. 968/04
Presented by Shri Sanjeev Kumar Agrawal
dated 22.9.04

2.4.04
Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

(63)

(45)

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

Writ Petition No.1018 of 2004

Ashisan Tirky

-Versus-

State of Chhattisgarh & others

Present:

Shri Sanjay Kumar Agrawal, Advocate: For petitioner.

Shri Sumit Verma, Panel Lawyer: For respondents No.1 to 3.

ORAL ORDER

(Passed on 1st May, 2006)

SATISH AGNIHOTRI, J.

1. The father of the petitioner namely Karnelieus while working as Peon in the office of District Education Officer, Jashpur Nagar, died in harness on 2.5.2000. The petitioner being dependent legal heir of the deceased made an application for compassionate appointment but compassionate appointment has not been given to him.

2. The Government of Chhattisgarh, by its circular dated 2.2.2006, has directed all the departments to consider cases of all the eligible dependant legal heir of the employees, who died in harness between 1.11.1997 and 31.10.2000 for compassionate appointment.

3. Accordingly, the Government of Chhattisgarh is directed to consider the case of the petitioner as per its own circular dated 2.2.2006 and also in view of the order dated 20.12.2005 passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.1322/2002 and other connected matters on its own merit, in accordance with law, on such representation being filed by the petitioner.

4. For the reasons stated above, the writ petition stands disposed of. No order as to costs.

Certified copy as per Rules.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Barve